

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, DC 20231

AP	PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR				ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
	08/811,4	73	03/04/9	'7 SH	ULTS		М	MA	RKWELL-026
Γ	DONALD W WYATT MELDEN AND CARROLL			,	19M1/1207		EXAMINER ##		
							CAR ART UNIT	TER,R	APER NUMBER
	SUITE 22 220 MONT SAN FRAN	GOME		-			191 DATE MAILED:	1	
								1	2/07/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/811,473

Applicant(s)

Shults et al.

Examiner

Ryan Carter

Group Art Unit 1911



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/4/97 and 9/8/98	·							
☐ This action is FINAL .								
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.								
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to exist longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions (37 CFR 1.136(a).	espond within the period for response will cause the							
Disposition of Claims	•							
X Claim(s) 1-27	is/are pending in the application.							
Of the above, claim(s) 21-27	is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.							
	is/are rejected.							
Claim(s)								
☐ Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement.								
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to is/are objected to is/are objected to The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under the proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to and is/are objected to	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). e priority documents have been ernational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152								
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE	FOLLOWING PAGES							

Application/Control Number: 08/811,473 Page 2

Art Unit: 1911

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 9/8/98 fails to comply with the provision of 37 CFR 1.98(3)(b) and MPEP § 609 because the published foreign patent applications are not identified by the patent office which published the applications. Because applicant did supply a copy of each of the published applications and it is obvious which patent office published the cited applications, the information disclosure statement has been corrected *ex officio*.

Election/Restriction

2. Claims 21-27 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a non-elected invention. Election was made without traverse in a conversation with Mr. Tom Browne on behalf of Mr. Pete Carroll, Applicant's representative, on November 20, 1998. As Mr. Browne is not listed on the Power of Attorney in this case, the election was verified by Mr. Carroll in a conversation with the Examiner on December 3, 1998.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

,

Application/Control Number: 08/811,473 Page 3

Art Unit: 1911

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brauker et al.
'330 in view of Palti. Brauker et al. disclose a close vascularization implant comprising in one embodiment, sensor means for detecting glucose (see Brauker, clms. 1 and 5, for example). The implant further comprises a "device" (or housing), a "second zone" (or bioprotective membrane), and a "first zone" (or angiogenic layer), which is more distal to the device than the second zone. Both zones comprise polytetrafluoroethylene. Brauker further discloses that the vascularizing zone can be made of a number of materials including, but not limited to, polyethylene. The use of electronic means including electrodes for measuring the glucose concentration is very well-known in the art. Such means are disclosed, for example, in Palti. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to include such electronic measuring means as those of Palti in the device of Brauker et al., in order to merely process the information obtained by the sensor, indicative of the amount of glucose concentration present in the sample.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan Carter whose telephone number is (703) 306-3491. General file and terminal disclaimer inquiries can be directed to the Patent Analyst, NaThanya Ferguson at

Application/Control Number: 08/811,473

Page 4

Art Unit: 1911

(703) 306-3476. Fee or status inquiries can be directed to the Patent Assistant, Wan Laymon at

(703) 306-3477. The fax number at this location is (703) 308-4363.

RCC

December 4, 1998

Ryan Carter

Patent Adjudicator

Patent Reengineering Lab 1911